

Hidden Symmetries and Integrable Hierarchy of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Equations

Alexander D. Popov* and Martin Wolf†

*Institut für Theoretische Physik
Leibniz Universität Hannover
Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany*

E-mail: `popov, wolf@itp.uni-hannover.de`

Abstract

We describe an infinite-dimensional algebra of hidden symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory. Our derivation is based on a generalization of the supertwistor correspondence. Using the latter, we construct an infinite sequence of flows on the solution space of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM equations. The dependence of the SYM fields on the parameters along the flows can be recovered by solving the equations of the hierarchy. We embed the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM equations in the infinite system of the hierarchy equations and show that this SYM hierarchy is associated with an infinite set of graded symmetries recursively generated from supertranslations. Presumably, the existence of such nonlocal symmetries underlies the observed integrable structures in quantum $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory.

*On leave from Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Dubna, Russia.

†Address after October 1st, 2006: Theoretical Physics Group, The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2BW, United Kingdom.

1. Introduction

Let us consider an open subset $\mathcal{P}^3 := \mathbb{C}P^3 \setminus \mathbb{C}P^1$ of the three-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^3$ together with the following double fibration:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \mathcal{P}^3 & & \mathbb{C}^4 \end{array} \quad (1.1)$$

Here, $\mathbb{C}^4$ is complexified Minkowski space and $\mathcal{P}^3$ its associated *twistor space* [1]. It can be shown that $\mathcal{P}^3$ is a rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{P}^3 = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ over the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C}P^1$, where $\mathcal{O}(n)$ denotes the holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ parametrized by the first Chern number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The importance of the diagram (1.1) lies in the fact that there is a correspondence between holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathcal{P}^3$ obeying certain triviality conditions and holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathbb{C}^4$ equipped with a connection satisfying the self-dual Yang-Mills (SDYM) equations on $\mathbb{C}^4$ [2]. This map between vector bundles has been termed the *Penrose-Ward transform* [3].

Substituting the twistor space $\mathcal{P}^3$ by $\mathcal{P}_n^3 := \mathcal{O}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(n)$ (for $n > 1$) which has the same dimension but different topology, one obtains the double fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{C}^{2(n+1)} \times \mathbb{C}P^1 & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \mathcal{P}_n^3 & & \mathbb{C}^{2(n+1)} \end{array} \quad (1.2)$$

and the above-mentioned Penrose-Ward transform is generalized to a correspondence between holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathcal{P}_n^3$ and solutions to a system of equations (called the SDYM hierarchy truncated up to level n [3]) for Yang-Mills-Higgs fields on the space

$$\mathbb{C}^{2(n+1)} \cong \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here, $\mathbb{C}^4$ is interpreted as (complexified) space-time and $\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}$ as a space of “higher times” parametrizing solutions to the SDYM equations which in turn appear as a subset of the truncated SDYM hierarchy [3]–[8]. Letting n tend to infinity, one obtains the full SDYM hierarchy which is associated with an affine extension of translation symmetries [3], [9]–[12].

The above construction can be generalized to $\mathcal{N}$ -extended supersymmetric SDYM theory [13] by substituting $\mathcal{P}_n^3$ by a generalized supertwistor space [14],

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{3|\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}}, \quad (1.4)$$

associated with the superspace $\mathbb{C}^{2(n+1)|2\mathcal{N}}$ extending the space (1.3). The operator Π inverts the Grassmann parity of the fibre coordinates. In a seminal recent paper [15], Witten observed that the supertwistor space $\mathcal{P}^{3|4} := \mathcal{P}_1^{3|4}$ is a Calabi-Yau supermanifold and showed that B-type open topological string theory with the supertwistor space as target space (twistor string theory¹) is equivalent to holomorphic Chern-Simons (hCS) theory² on the same space. This theory is in turn equivalent to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SDYM theory in four dimensions. Generalizing an earlier construction [17], it was also shown in [15] that it is possible to recover perturbative $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory in terms of integrals over moduli spaces of algebraic curves (D-instantons) in

¹For other variants of twistor string models see [16].

²Holomorphic Chern-Simons theory describes (inequivalent) holomorphic structures on a vector bundle over a given complex (super)manifold, which in the case at hand is $\mathcal{P}^{3|4}$.

the supertwistor space $\mathcal{P}^{3|4}$. For an account of progress made in this area, see e.g. [18, 19] and references therein. For other aspects of twistor string theories discussed lately see e.g. [20]–[29].

A twistor construction was developed not only for (supersymmetric) SDYM theory but also for full $\mathcal{N}$ -extended SYM theory [30]–[35] with $0 \leq \mathcal{N} \leq 4$ (for recent reviews see [21, 29]). Recall that the twistor description of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory is based on the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superspace formulation of the latter [30]. For that one considers the supertwistor space $\mathcal{P}^{3|3}$ as in (1.4) with $n = 1$, $\mathcal{N} = 3$ and the dual supertwistor space $\mathcal{P}_*^{3|3} = \mathbb{C}P_*^{3|3} \setminus \mathbb{C}P_*^{1|3} = \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}_*^2 \oplus \Pi\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}_*^3$ which is fibred over $\mathbb{C}P_*^1$. Let $\pi_{\dot{\alpha}}$ with $\dot{\alpha} = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$ and ρ_{α} with $\alpha = 1, 2$ be homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\mathbb{C}P_*^1$, respectively. Then the *superambitwistor space*, denoted by $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$, is defined as a quadric surface

$$z^{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha} - w^{\dot{\alpha}}\pi_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\theta^i\eta_i = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

in $\mathcal{P}^{3|3} \times \mathcal{P}_*^{3|3}$ [30]. Here, $(z^{\alpha}, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \eta_i)$ and $(w^{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_{\alpha}, \theta^i)$ are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathcal{P}^{3|3}$ and $\mathcal{P}_*^{3|3}$, respectively, and η_i, θ^i are Grassmann odd variables with $i = 1, 2, 3$. Interestingly, $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$ is a Calabi-Yau supermanifold and it is possible to formulate twistor string theory on it [15].

Note that if pr_1 and pr_2 are the two projections from $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_*^1$ onto $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\mathbb{C}P_*^1$, then the space $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$ can be defined as a holomorphic vector bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_*^1$ in terms of a short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{5|6} \rightarrow \text{pr}_1^*\mathcal{P}^{3|3} \oplus \text{pr}_2^*\mathcal{P}_*^{3|3} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{O}(1, 1) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.6)$$

generalizing the analogous sequence for the purely bosonic case [36, 37]. The mapping κ is given by $\kappa(z^{\alpha}, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \eta_i, w^{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_{\alpha}, \theta^i) := z^{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha} - w^{\dot{\alpha}}\pi_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\theta^i\eta_i$ and $\mathcal{O}(1, 1) := \text{pr}_1^*\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \text{pr}_2^*\mathcal{O}(1)$. The corresponding long exact cohomology sequence gives $H^1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_*^1, \mathcal{L}^{5|6}) = 0$ and $H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_*^1, \mathcal{L}^{5|6}) = \mathbb{C}^{4|12}$. Hence, by virtue of the Kodaira theorem [38] we obtain the double fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{F}^{6|12} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \mathcal{L}^{5|6} & & \mathbb{C}^{4|12} \end{array} \quad (1.7)$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{6|12} := \mathbb{C}^{4|12} \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_*^1$ is called *correspondence space* and $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$ is complexified $\mathcal{N} = 3$ Minkowski superspace appearing as the $(4|12)$ -dimensional moduli space of global holomorphic sections of the bundle $\mathcal{L}^{5|6} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_*^1$ [30, 32, 34, 39]. Furthermore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between gauge equivalence classes of solutions to the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations in four dimensions and equivalence classes of holomorphic vector bundles³ $\mathcal{E}$ over the quadric $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$ such that the vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ are holomorphically trivial on each submanifold $Y_{\tilde{x}} \cong \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_*^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{5|6}$ with $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{4|12}$ [30, 32, 34]. Vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ having such properties are sometimes called $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$ -trivial [32].

In this paper, we introduce a *generalized superambitwistor space* $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$ via the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6} \xrightarrow{\kappa_{m,n}} \mathcal{O}(m, n) \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.8)$$

where the holomorphic vector bundles

$$\mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6} := [\mathcal{O}(m, n-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(m-1, n)] \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus [\Pi\mathcal{O}(m, 0) \oplus \Pi\mathcal{O}(0, n)] \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}(m, n) := \text{pr}_1^*\mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \text{pr}_2^*\mathcal{O}(n) \quad (1.10)$$

³In the Dolbeault picture, these classes are described by gauge equivalence classes of solutions to the equations of motion of hCS theory on $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$.

are fibred over $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_*^1$. Here, the map $\kappa_{m,n}$ is defined as

$$\kappa_{m,n} : (z^\alpha, w^{\dot{\alpha}}, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_\alpha, \eta_i, \theta^i) \mapsto z^\alpha \rho_\alpha - w^{\dot{\alpha}} \pi_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\theta^i \eta_i, \quad (1.11)$$

where $(z^\alpha, w^{\dot{\alpha}}, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_\alpha, \eta_i, \theta^i)$ are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}$. Clearly, for $m = n = 1$ the sequence (1.8) reduces to (1.6), i.e. $\mathcal{L}^{5|6} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{1,1}^{5|6}$ and $\text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{P}^{3|3} \oplus \text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{P}_*^{3|3} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{1,1}^{6|6}$.

One of the goals of our paper is to establish a supertwistor correspondence involving the space $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$. We first discuss its geometry and the associated double fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N} & \\ \pi_2 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_1 \\ \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6} & & \mathbb{C}^{M|N} \end{array} \quad (1.12)$$

with $\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N} := \mathbb{C}^{M|N} \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_*^1$ and

$$\mathbb{C}^{M|N} := \mathbb{C}^{3mn+m+n-1|3(m+n+2)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{4|12} \times \mathbb{C}^{3mn+m+n-5|3(m+n-2)} \quad (1.13)$$

containing $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$ as a subspace. Afterwards, we will describe a one-to-one correspondence between the moduli space of solutions to SYM-type equations on the space (1.13) and the moduli space of $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ -trivial holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$. We shall call the obtained Yang-Mills-Higgs type equations on $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ the SYM hierarchy truncated up to level (m, n) . In addition, we show that the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations are contained in this system of differential equations. The full SYM hierarchy is introduced as an asymptotic limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ after putting $m = n$.

Further, we show that the SYM hierarchy is associated with an infinite-dimensional algebra, denoted by $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \mu]$, with generators

$$\mu^a \lambda^{\dot{b}} P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad \mu^a Q_{i\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{\dot{b}} Q_{\dot{\beta}}^i \quad (1.14)$$

for $a, \dot{b} \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, λ and μ are local coordinates on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_*^1$ and $P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, $Q_{i\alpha}$ and $Q_{\dot{\beta}}^i$ are the generators of the algebra $T^{4|12}$ of supertranslations on $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$. We have

$$\{\mu^a Q_{i\alpha}, \lambda^{\dot{b}} Q_{\dot{\beta}}^j\} = -2\delta_i^j \mu^a \lambda^{\dot{b}} P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \quad (1.15)$$

as the only nonvanishing (anti)commutation relations of $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \mu]$. For a Minkowski metric on space-time and proper reality conditions on the extra coordinates, we will obtain a corresponding real form of the above algebra. Notice that $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \mu]$ is a subalgebra of an algebra $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}, \mu, \mu^{-1}]$ with the same generators (1.14) but for $a, \dot{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$. In addition, we demonstrate that $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \mu]$ generates hidden symmetries of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations. In this respect, we again emphasize that the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theories are actually equivalent, so we will not make any distinction between these theories and also refer to them interchangeably. The symmetries under consideration are in fact point symmetries of the SYM hierarchy and the dependence of the space-time components of the SYM fields on the moduli parametrizing higher flows can be recovered by solving a part of the infinite set of equations of the SYM hierarchy. In other words, the generators of the algebra $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \mu]$ are realized as (super)derivatives along “higher times” and they generate tangent vectors to the infinite-dimensional space of solutions to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM equations. The existence of such symmetries could play an important role in quantum integrability of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory.

2. Generalized superambitwistor space

Moduli space. To clarify the geometry of $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$, we use the exact sequence (1.8). This sequence induces a long exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{m,n}} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(m, n)) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}(m, n)) \rightarrow \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $Y := \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_*^1$ and the map $\kappa_{m,n}$ is defined by the formula (1.11).⁴ Using Künneth's formula, we have $H^1(Y, \mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}) = 0 = H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}(m, n))$ for $m, n \geq 1$. Furthermore, the map $\kappa_{m,n}$ is surjective. Therefore, the sequence (2.1) yields a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(m, n)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.2)$$

In addition, we obtain

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}) = \mathbb{C}^{4mn+2m+2n|3(m+n+2)}, \quad H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(m, n)) = \mathbb{C}^{(m+1)(n+1)} \quad (2.3)$$

and from (2.2) and (2.3) we find

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}) = \mathbb{C}^{M|N} \quad \text{with} \quad M := 3mn + m + n - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N := 3(m + n + 2). \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, we conclude that the moduli space of global holomorphic sections of the fibration

$$\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6} \rightarrow Y \quad (2.5)$$

is the $(M|N)$ -dimensional superspace $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ with M, N given in (2.4).

Decomposition of $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$. Let us consider the bosonic part of the sequence (1.8),

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|0} \rightarrow [\mathcal{O}(m, n-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(m-1, n)] \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m, n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Upon dualizing it and using the Euler sequence (see e.g. [40]), we conclude that $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|0}$ can be identified with the vector bundle $\text{Jet}^1 \mathcal{O}(m, n)$ of first order jets of $\mathcal{O}(m, n)$. Moreover, by recalling the exact sequence (see e.g. [32])

$$0 \rightarrow T^*X \otimes E \rightarrow \text{Jet}^1 E \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where E is a vector bundle over a manifold X , we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m-2, n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(m, n-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m, n) \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where we have substituted $E = \mathcal{O}(m, n)$ and $T^*X = T^*Y = T^*(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_*^1) \cong \mathcal{O}(-2, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -2)$ into (2.7).

It is not difficult to show that the long exact cohomology sequence arising from the short exact sequence (2.8) reduces to

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(m-2, n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(m, n-2)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|0}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(m, n)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.9)$$

⁴By a slight abuse of notation, we use the same symbol $\kappa_{m,n}$ for both the map between vector bundles and the map between cohomology groups.

As $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|0}) = \mathbb{C}^{M|0}$, (2.9) implies the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}^{M|0} \cong \mathbb{C}^{(m+1)(n+1)|0} \times \mathbb{C}^{(m+1)(n-1)|0} \times \mathbb{C}^{(m-1)(n+1)|0} \quad (2.10)$$

of the bosonic part $\mathbb{C}^{M|0} \subset \mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ of the moduli space (2.4) of global holomorphic sections of the bundle (2.5). Using this natural decomposition, we choose the following bosonic coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$:

$$x^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m}, \quad t^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-2} \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} \quad \text{and} \quad s^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_{m-2}}. \quad (2.11)$$

As fermionic coordinates, we may take

$$\eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}. \quad (2.12)$$

Note that all of these coordinates are totally symmetric in their spinorial indices.

Geometry of the space $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$. As homogeneous coordinates on $\mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}$ we take $(z^\alpha, w^{\dot{\alpha}}, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_\alpha, \eta_i, \theta^i) \in \mathbb{C}^{8|6}$ subject to the identification

$$(z^\alpha, w^{\dot{\alpha}}, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_\alpha, \eta_i, \theta^i) \sim (t_1^m t_2^{n-1} z^\alpha, t_1^{m-1} t_2^n w^{\dot{\alpha}}, t_1 \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, t_2 \rho_\alpha, t_1^m \eta_i, t_2^n \theta^i) \quad (2.13)$$

for any pair $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $(\pi_{\dot{\alpha}})^t \neq (0, 0)$ and $(\rho_\alpha)^t \neq (0, 0)$. Upon imposing the quadric constraint

$$z^\alpha \rho_\alpha - w^{\dot{\alpha}} \pi_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\theta^i \eta_i = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

on these coordinates, we may also use them as coordinates on $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$. Note that this constraint can be solved on each of the four patches $\mathcal{W}_p$, with $p, q, \dots = 1, \dots, 4$, covering $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$. Recall that $\mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle (1.9) over $Y = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_*^1$ and as such it can be covered by four patches $\mathcal{U}_p = \mathbb{C}^{4|6} \times W_p$, where $\{W_p\}$ is the standard acyclic covering of Y . We may choose $\mathcal{W}_p = \mathcal{U}_p \cap \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$ since $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6} \subset \mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}$.

In terms of the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathcal{C}_{m,n}^{6|6}$, global holomorphic sections of the bundle (2.5) have the form

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\alpha_1} &= [x_R^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} + \epsilon^{\alpha_1 (\alpha_2 t^{\alpha_3 \dots \alpha_n} \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m)}] \rho_{\alpha_2} \dots \rho_{\alpha_n} \pi_{\dot{\alpha}_1} \dots \pi_{\dot{\alpha}_m}, \\ w^{\dot{\alpha}_1} &= [x_L^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} - s^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n (\dot{\alpha}_3 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}_2} \dot{\alpha}_1)}] \rho_{\alpha_1} \dots \rho_{\alpha_n} \pi_{\dot{\alpha}_2} \dots \pi_{\dot{\alpha}_m}, \\ \eta_i &= \eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} \pi_{\dot{\alpha}_1} \dots \pi_{\dot{\alpha}_m}, \quad \theta^i = \theta^{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \rho_{\alpha_1} \dots \rho_{\alpha_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Furthermore, the moduli $x_{R,L}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m}$ appearing in (2.15) are not independent but satisfy

$$x_R^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} - x_L^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} + 2\theta^{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

as a result of the quadric constraint (2.14). These equations can be solved in terms of the coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ as

$$x_{R,L}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} = x^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} \mp \gamma_{R,L}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} \theta^{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m}, \quad (2.17)$$

where the constant factors $\gamma_{R,L}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m}$ obey

$$\gamma_R^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} + \gamma_L^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m} = 2. \quad (2.18)$$

Below, we shall choose them appropriately.

Double fibration. Recall that the sections (2.15) describe embeddings $Y_{\tilde{x}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$, where $\tilde{x}$ collectively denotes all the coordinates $(x, t, s, \eta, \theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{M|N}$. On the other hand, for each point $\ell = (z^\alpha, w^{\dot{\alpha}}, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_\alpha, \eta_i, \theta^i) \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$, the *incidence relations* (2.15) define a subspace $\mathbb{C}^{M-3|N-6}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$. The correspondence between subspaces in $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ can be described by the double fibration (1.12) mentioned in the previous section. There, $\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N} = \mathbb{C}^{M|N} \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1_*$ and the projections π_1 and π_2 are defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 : (x, t, s, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_\alpha, \eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m}, \theta^{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}) &\mapsto (x, t, s, \eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m}, \theta^{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}), \\ \pi_2 : (x, t, s, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_\alpha, \eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_m}, \theta^{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}) &\mapsto (z^\alpha, w^{\dot{\alpha}}, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_\alpha, \eta_i, \theta^i), \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where z^α , $w^{\dot{\alpha}}$, η_i and θ^i are given in (2.15). So, the diagram (1.12) describes the one-to-one correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{submanifolds } Y_{\tilde{x}} \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}\} &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{points } \tilde{x} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^{M|N}\}, \\ \{\text{points } \ell \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}\} &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{subspaces } \mathbb{C}_\ell^{M-3|N-6} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^{M|N}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where a fixed point $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ corresponds to a submanifold $\pi_2(\pi_1^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \cong Y_{\tilde{x}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$ and, conversely, a fixed point $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$ corresponds to a codimension $3|6$ subspace $\pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}(\ell)) \cong \mathbb{C}_\ell^{M-3|N-6} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{M|N}$. Note that the correspondence space $\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$ can be covered by four patches $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_p := \mathbb{C}^{M|N} \times W_p$ with local coordinates (2.11), (2.12) on $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ and $(\lambda_{(p)}, \mu_{(p)})$ on W_p , where $\{W_p\}$ forms the four-set acyclic covering of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1_*$.

Parametrization of $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$. From now on, we specialize to the case when $m = n$ and denote $\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6} := \mathcal{L}_{n,n}^{5|6}$. The discussion for $m \neq n$ can be given in an analogous way as for $m = n$. Furthermore, we switch from the spinorial notation used above to a polynomial one. This will allow us to write many formulae more concisely and to also take the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the field equations. So, let us now consider the double fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N} & \\ \pi_2 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_1 \\ \mathcal{L}_n^{5|6} & & \mathbb{C}^{M|N} \end{array} \quad (2.21)$$

with $M = 3n^2 + 2n - 1$ and $N = 6(n + 1)$. In particular, we parametrize $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ by the coordinates

$$(x^{A\dot{B}}, t^{a\dot{B}}, s^{A\dot{b}}, \eta_i^{\dot{A}}, \theta^{iA}), \quad (2.22)$$

where $A, B, \dots = 1, \dots, n + 1$, $\dot{A}, \dot{B}, \dots = \dot{1}, \dots, \dot{n} + \dot{1}$ and $a, b, \dots = 1, \dots, n - 1$, $\dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dots = \dot{1}, \dots, \dot{n} - \dot{1}$. On the patch $\mathcal{U}_1$ of $\mathcal{C}_n^{6|6} := \mathcal{C}_{n,n}^{6|6}$ defined by the conditions $\pi_{\dot{1}} \neq 0$ and $\rho_1 \neq 0$, we may choose the following (local) coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{(1)}^\alpha &:= \frac{z^\alpha}{\pi_{\dot{1}}^n \rho_1^{n-1}}, & z_{(1)}^3 &:= \frac{\pi_{\dot{2}}}{\pi_{\dot{1}}}, & w_{(1)}^{\dot{\alpha}} &:= \frac{w^{\dot{\alpha}}}{\pi_{\dot{1}}^{n-1} \rho_1^n}, & w_{(1)}^{\dot{3}} &:= \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}, \\ \eta_i^{(1)} &:= \frac{\eta_i}{\pi_{\dot{1}}^n}, & \theta_{(1)}^i &:= \frac{\theta^i}{\rho_1^n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Note that by virtue of the projection π_2 appearing in (2.21) we can set $z_{(1)}^3 = \lambda_{(1)}$ and $w_{(1)}^3 = \mu_{(1)}$. Locally, the sections (2.15) over $W_1 \subset Y$ then take the form⁵

$$\begin{aligned}
z^1 &= \sum_{\dot{B}=\dot{1}}^{\dot{n}+\dot{1}} \left(x_R^{1\dot{B}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (x_R^{a+1\dot{B}} + t^{a\dot{B}}) \mu^a \right) \lambda^{\dot{B}-\dot{1}}, \\
z^2 &= \sum_{\dot{B}=\dot{1}}^{\dot{n}+\dot{1}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (x_R^{a+1\dot{B}} - t^{a\dot{B}}) \mu^{a-1} + x_R^{n+1\dot{B}} \mu^{n-1} \right) \lambda^{\dot{B}-\dot{1}}, \\
w^{\dot{1}} &= \sum_{A=1}^{n+1} \left(x_L^{A\dot{1}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\dot{b}=\dot{1}}^{\dot{n}-\dot{1}} (x_L^{A\dot{b}+\dot{1}} + s^{A\dot{b}}) \lambda^{\dot{b}} \right) \mu^{A-1}, \\
w^{\dot{2}} &= \sum_{A=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\dot{b}=\dot{1}}^{\dot{n}-\dot{1}} (x_L^{A\dot{b}+\dot{1}} - s^{A\dot{b}}) \lambda^{\dot{b}-\dot{1}} + x_L^{A\dot{n}+\dot{1}} \lambda^{\dot{n}-\dot{1}} \right) \mu^{A-1}, \\
\eta_i &= \sum_{\dot{A}=\dot{1}}^{\dot{n}+\dot{1}} \eta_i^{\dot{A}} \lambda^{\dot{A}-\dot{1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^i = \sum_{A=1}^{n+1} \theta^{iA} \mu^{A-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Similar expressions can also be written for the other patches W_p with $p = 2, 3, 4$. However, for illustrating purposes, we will mostly write formulae only for the patches W_1 , $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1$. As before, (2.14) implies

$$x_R^{A\dot{B}} - x_L^{A\dot{B}} + 2\theta^{iA} \eta_i^{\dot{B}} = 0, \tag{2.25}$$

which can be solved by putting

$$x_{R,L}^{A\dot{B}} = x^{A\dot{B}} \mp \gamma_{R,L}^{A\dot{B}} \theta^{iA} \eta_i^{\dot{B}} \tag{2.26}$$

with $\gamma_R^{A\dot{B}} + \gamma_L^{A\dot{B}} = 2$. We shall specify these factors in a moment.

Among the coordinates (2.22) on $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ we will single out those which correspond to coordinates on complexified $\mathcal{N} = 3$ Minkowski superspace $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$ entering in the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}^{M|N} = \mathbb{C}^{3n^2+2n-1|6(n+1)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{4|12} \times \mathbb{C}^{3n^2+2n-5|6(n-1)}. \tag{2.27}$$

The remaining coordinates are then interpreted as additional parameters (moduli) or “higher times” from the viewpoint of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory. The decomposition (2.27) means the decomposition of the indices $(A) = (\alpha, a+2)$ and $(\dot{A}) = (\dot{\alpha}, \dot{a}+\dot{2})$ with $\alpha = 1, 2$, $a = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\dot{\alpha} = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$, $\dot{a} = \dot{1}, \dots, \dot{n}-\dot{1}$. Using this, we denote the coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$ by

$$(x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \theta^{i\alpha}) \tag{2.28}$$

and those on $\mathbb{C}^{3n^2+2n-5|6(n-1)}$ by

$$(x^{\alpha\dot{b}+\dot{2}}, x^{a+2\dot{\beta}}, x^{a+2\dot{b}+\dot{2}}, t^{\alpha\dot{b}}, t^{a+2\dot{b}}, s^{a\dot{\beta}}, s^{a\dot{b}+\dot{2}}, \eta_i^{\dot{a}+\dot{2}}, \theta^{ia+2}). \tag{2.29}$$

Integrable distribution $\mathcal{T}$. Below, we shall establish a correspondence between holomorphic vector bundles⁶ over $\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$ and solutions to the equations of the SYM hierarchy truncated up to level

⁵We suppress (in most cases) the patch indices.

⁶In the Dolbeault picture, they can be described by hCS theory on $\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$.

n . Since the lowest level flows of the hierarchy will – by construction – correspond to supertranslations on $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$, the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations are embedded into the SYM hierarchy. Moreover, the set of vector fields spanning the tangent spaces to the leaves of the fibration

$$\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n^{5|6} \quad (2.30)$$

must contain the vector fields

$$\lambda\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1\dot{1}}} - \mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1\dot{2}}} - \lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2\dot{1}}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2\dot{2}}}, \quad \mu D_{i1} - D_{i2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda D_1^i - D_2^i \quad (2.31)$$

with

$$D_{i\alpha} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{i\alpha}} + \eta_i^{\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\dot{\alpha}}^i := \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}} + \theta^{i\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\beta\dot{\alpha}}} \quad (2.32)$$

entering into the linear system for the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations. To implement this, we need to choose the factors $\gamma_{R,L}^{A\dot{B}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{R,L}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \mp \theta^{i\alpha} \eta_i^{\dot{\beta}}, \\ x_R^{\alpha\dot{b}+\dot{2}} &= x^{\alpha\dot{b}+\dot{2}}, \quad x_L^{\alpha\dot{b}+\dot{2}} = x^{\alpha\dot{b}+\dot{2}} + 2\theta^{i\alpha} \eta_i^{\dot{b}+\dot{2}}, \\ x_R^{a+2\dot{\beta}} &= x^{a+2\dot{\beta}} - 2\theta^{ia+2} \eta_i^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad x_L^{a+2\dot{\beta}} = x^{a+2\dot{\beta}}, \\ x_{R,L}^{a+2\dot{b}+\dot{2}} &= x^{a+2\dot{b}+\dot{2}} \mp \theta^{ia+2} \eta_i^{\dot{b}+\dot{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

In the sequel, we denote the relative holomorphic tangent bundle of the fibration (2.30) by $\mathcal{T}$.⁷ It is defined by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N} \rightarrow \pi_2^* T\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6} \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.34)$$

In other words, by dualizing this sequence, we obtain the sheaf $\Omega^1(\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N})/\pi_2^* \Omega^1(\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6})$ of relative differential one-forms on $\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$. Short calculations reveal that for $n > 1$ the bosonic part of the distribution $\mathcal{T}$ is spanned by the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} D_{A\dot{B}} &= \lambda\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{B}}} - \mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{B}+\dot{1}}} - \lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A+1\dot{B}}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A+1\dot{B}+\dot{1}}} \\ &= \mu^\alpha \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A+\alpha-1\dot{B}+\dot{\beta}-\dot{1}}} =: \mu^\alpha \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} D_{\alpha\dot{\beta}A\dot{B}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35a)$$

$$D_{n+1\dot{A}} = \lambda \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1\dot{A}}} - \delta_A^n \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{1\dot{n}-\dot{1}}} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1\dot{A}+\dot{1}}} + \delta_A^{\dot{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{1\dot{1}}} \right) =: \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} D_{n+1\dot{A}\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (2.35b)$$

$$D_{A\dot{n}+\dot{1}} = \mu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{1}}} - \delta_A^n \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{n-1\dot{1}}} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A+1\dot{1}}} + \delta_A^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{1\dot{1}}} \right) =: \mu^\alpha D_{\alpha A\dot{n}+\dot{1}}, \quad (2.35c)$$

$$T_{a\dot{B}} = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a\dot{B}}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a\dot{B}+\dot{1}}} = \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a\dot{B}+\dot{\beta}-\dot{1}}} =: \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} D_{a\dot{B}\dot{\beta}} \quad \text{for } a \leq n-1, \quad (2.35d)$$

$$T_{a\dot{n}+\dot{1}} = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a\dot{1}}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a+1\dot{1}}} = \mu^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a+\alpha-1\dot{1}}} =: \mu^\alpha D_{\alpha a\dot{n}+\dot{1}} \quad \text{for } a \leq n-2, \quad (2.35e)$$

$$S_{A\dot{b}} = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{A\dot{b}}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{A+1\dot{b}}} = \mu^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{A+\alpha-1\dot{b}}} =: \mu^\alpha D_{\alpha A\dot{b}} \quad \text{for } \dot{b} \leq \dot{n}-\dot{1}, \quad (2.35f)$$

$$S_{n+1\dot{a}} = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{1\dot{a}}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{1\dot{a}+\dot{1}}} = \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{1\dot{a}+\dot{\alpha}-\dot{1}}} =: \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} D_{n+1\dot{a}\dot{\alpha}} \quad \text{for } \dot{a} \leq \dot{n}-\dot{2}, \quad (2.35g)$$

⁷We shall use the same letter $\mathcal{T}$ for both the bundle and the distribution generated by its sections.

where $A, B, \dots \leq n$ and $\dot{A}, \dot{B}, \dots \leq \dot{n}$ and

$$(\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}}) := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mu^{\alpha}) := \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.36)$$

For $n = 1$, the bosonic part of $\mathcal{T}$ is spanned by the vector field $D_{1\dot{1}} =: D$ from (2.35a).

In addition, the fermionic part of the distribution $\mathcal{T}$ is spanned by the vector fields

$$V_{iA} = \mu D_{iA} - D_{iA+1} =: \mu^{\alpha} D_{i\alpha A} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\dot{A}}^i = \lambda D_{\dot{A}}^i - D_{\dot{A}+1}^i =: \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} D_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{A}}^i, \quad (2.37)$$

where $D_{i\alpha}$ and $D_{\dot{\alpha}}^i$ were already given in (2.32) and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ia+2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{ia+2}} + 2\eta_i^{\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a+2\dot{\beta}}} + \eta_i^{b+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a+2b+2}}, \\ D_{\dot{a}+2}^i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i^{\dot{a}+2}} + 2\theta^{i\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\beta\dot{a}+2}} + \theta^{ib+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{b+2\dot{a}+2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

for $a, b \leq n-1$ and $\dot{a}, \dot{b} \leq \dot{n}-1$. Besides the expressions (2.35) and (2.37) on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1$, one can easily write down the basis vector fields of $\mathcal{T}$ on the remaining patches $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_2$, $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_3$ and $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_4$ covering together with $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1$ the correspondence space $\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$.

The only nonvanishing (anti)commutators among the above vector fields are

$$\{V_{iA}, V_{\dot{B}}^j\} = 2\delta_i^j D_{A\dot{B}}. \quad (2.39)$$

Hence, the distribution $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable. To homogenize notation, we define

$$D_{I'} := \mu^{\alpha} \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} D_{\alpha\dot{\beta}I'}, \quad D_{\dot{I}} := \mu^{\alpha} D_{\alpha\dot{I}} \quad \text{and} \quad D_I := \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} D_{I\dot{\alpha}} \quad (2.40)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \{D_{I'}\} &= \{D_{A\dot{B}}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{D_{\alpha\dot{\beta}I'}\} = \{D_{\alpha\dot{\beta}A\dot{B}}\}, \\ \{D_{\dot{I}}\} &= \{S_{Ab}, T_{a\dot{n}+1}, D_{A\dot{n}+1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{D_{\alpha\dot{I}}\} = \{D_{\alpha A\dot{b}}, D_{\alpha a\dot{n}+1}, D_{\alpha A\dot{n}+1}\}, \\ \{D_I\} &= \{T_{a\dot{B}}, S_{n+1\dot{a}}, D_{n+1\dot{A}}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{D_{I\dot{\alpha}}\} = \{D_{a\dot{B}\dot{\alpha}}, D_{n+1\dot{a}\dot{\alpha}}, D_{n+1\dot{A}\dot{\alpha}}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Also, from (2.37) we see that

$$D_{i\alpha A} = D_{iA+\alpha-1} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{A}}^i = D_{\dot{A}+\dot{\alpha}-1}^i, \quad (2.42)$$

i.e. the derivatives D_{i1A} and D_{i2A} , $D_{1\dot{A}}^i$ and $D_{2\dot{A}}^i$ are not linearly independent. Similarly, (2.35), (2.40) and (2.41) imply that for $n > 1$ the derivatives $D_{\alpha\dot{\beta}I'}$, $D_{\alpha\dot{I}}$ and $D_{I\dot{\alpha}}$ are not independent. For $n = 1$, there is only one bosonic and six fermionic vector fields given in (2.31).

$\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations. From the above formulae we see that $D_{1\dot{1}}$, V_{i1} and $V_{\dot{1}}^i$ coincide with the vector fields (2.31) entering into the linear system [30]

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{\alpha} \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} (\partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}) \psi_1 &=: \mu^{\alpha} \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \psi_1 = 0, \\ \mu^{\alpha} (D_{i\alpha} + \mathcal{A}_{i\alpha}) \psi_1 &=: \mu^{\alpha} \nabla_{i\alpha} \psi_1 = 0, \\ \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} (D_{\dot{\alpha}}^i + \mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i) \psi_1 &=: \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \psi_1 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

where $\partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} := \partial/\partial x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ and ψ_1 is a matrix-valued function on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1$ depending holomorphically on λ and μ . The compatibility conditions of (2.43) are the constraint equations

$$\{\nabla_{i(\alpha}, \nabla_{j\beta)}\} = 0, \quad \{\nabla_{(\dot{\alpha}}^i, \nabla_{\dot{\beta})}^j\} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{\nabla_{i\alpha}, \nabla_{\dot{\beta}}^j\} - 2\delta_i^j \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = 0 \quad (2.44)$$

of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory. Recall that these equations are equivalent to the field equations of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory [30, 41]. Therefore, we will not make any distinction between the constraint and the field equations.

3. General form of the SYM hierarchy

Relative connection $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}$. Let X be a smooth (super)manifold and $\mathcal{T}$ an integrable distribution on X . For any smooth function f on X , let $d_{\mathcal{T}}f$ be the restriction of df to $\mathcal{T}$, i.e. $d_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the composition

$$C^\infty(X) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1(X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}^*), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Omega^1(X) := \Gamma(X, T^*X)$ and $\mathcal{T}^*$ denotes the sheaf of (smooth) differential one-forms dual to $\mathcal{T}$. The operator $d_{\mathcal{T}}$ can be extended to act on relative differential k -forms from the space $\Omega_{\mathcal{T}}^k(X) := \Gamma(X, \Lambda^k \mathcal{T}^*)$,

$$d_{\mathcal{T}} : \Omega_{\mathcal{T}}^k(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{T}}^{k+1}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad k \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ be a smooth complex vector bundle over X . A covariant differential (or connection) on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ along the distribution $\mathcal{T}$ – a $\mathcal{T}$ -connection [42] – is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping

$$D_{\mathcal{T}} : \Gamma(X, \hat{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{E}}) \quad (3.3)$$

satisfying the Leibniz formula

$$D_{\mathcal{T}}(f\sigma) = fD_{\mathcal{T}}\sigma + d_{\mathcal{T}}f \otimes \sigma, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \hat{\mathcal{E}})$ is a local section of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and f is a local smooth function. This $\mathcal{T}$ -connection extends to a map

$$D_{\mathcal{T}} : \Omega_{\mathcal{T}}^k(X, \hat{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{T}}^{k+1}(X, \hat{\mathcal{E}}), \quad (3.5)$$

where $\Omega_{\mathcal{T}}^k(X, \hat{\mathcal{E}}) := \Gamma(X, \Lambda^k \mathcal{T}^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{E}})$. Locally, $D_{\mathcal{T}}$ has the form

$$D_{\mathcal{T}} = d_{\mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where the standard $\text{End } \hat{\mathcal{E}}$ -valued $\mathcal{T}$ -connection one-form $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}$ has components only along the distribution $\mathcal{T}$. As usual, $D_{\mathcal{T}}^2$ naturally induces the curvature $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}} = d_{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}} \in \Gamma(X, \Lambda^2 \mathcal{T}^* \otimes \text{End } \hat{\mathcal{E}})$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}$. We say that $D_{\mathcal{T}}$ (or $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}$) is flat, if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}} = 0$. For a flat $D_{\mathcal{T}}$, the pair $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, D_{\mathcal{T}})$ is called a $\mathcal{T}$ -flat vector bundle [42].

Linear system on $\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$. Consider $X = \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$ and the integrable distribution $\mathcal{T}$ which is locally spanned by the vector fields (2.35) and (2.37). We have

$$\mathcal{T} = \text{span}\{D_{I'}, D_I, D_A, V_{iA}, V_A^i\} \quad (3.7)$$

in the shorthand notation introduced in (2.37), (2.40) and (2.41). Suppose we are given a topologically trivial and $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ -trivial rank r holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over the generalized superambitwistor space $\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$ from the double fibration (2.21). In particular, this means that $\mathcal{E}$ is holomorphically trivial on any submanifold $Y_{\tilde{x}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$ with $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{M|N}$. Let us consider the pulled-back bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} := \pi_2^* \mathcal{E}$ over the supermanifold $\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$ with a covering $\{\hat{\mathcal{W}}_p\}$ as defined in section 2. By definition, the pull-backs $\tilde{f} := \pi_2^* f$ of the transition functions $f = \{f_{pq}\}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \pi_2^* \mathcal{E}$ must be constant along the fibres of π_2 , i.e.⁸

$$d_{\mathcal{T}} \tilde{f}_{pq} = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

⁸Recall that a (local) function on the correspondence space $\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$ descends to generalized superambitwistor space $\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$ if and only if it lies in the kernel of $d_{\mathcal{T}}$.

where the relative differential $d_{\mathcal{T}}$ (exterior differential along the fibres of (2.30)) is defined by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.7).

Note that $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ -triviality of the vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$ is equivalent to the triviality of the vector bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$ along the fibres $Y_{\tilde{x}}$ of the projection π_1 from (2.21). Hence, there exist a trivialization $\{\psi_p\}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ such that

$$\tilde{f}_{pq} = \psi_p^{-1} \psi_q \quad \text{on} \quad \hat{\mathcal{W}}_p \cap \hat{\mathcal{W}}_q \neq \emptyset, \quad (3.9)$$

where the ψ_p s are holomorphic in $\lambda_{(p)}$ and $\mu_{(p)}$. From (3.8) and (3.9), it follows that

$$\psi_p d_{\mathcal{T}} \psi_p^{-1} = \psi_q d_{\mathcal{T}} \psi_q^{-1} \quad (3.10)$$

on any $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_p \cap \hat{\mathcal{W}}_q$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}|_{\hat{\mathcal{W}}_p} := \psi_p d_{\mathcal{T}} \psi_p^{-1} \quad (3.11)$$

is a globally defined flat $\mathcal{T}$ -connection on a trivial vector bundle $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$ which is topologically equivalent to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. In fact, we have an equivalence

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{f} = \{\tilde{f}_{pq}\}, d_{\mathcal{T}}) \sim (\hat{\mathcal{E}}, \hat{f} = \{\mathbb{1}_r\}, D_{\mathcal{T}} = d_{\mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}), \quad (3.12)$$

which is the equivalence of the Čech and Dolbeault descriptions of $\mathcal{T}$ -flat vector bundles.

By an extension of Liouville's theorem to $Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$, it follows that on patch $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1$ the components of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}$ are given by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} D_{I'} \lrcorner \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}} &:= \psi_1 D_{I'} \psi_1^{-1} = \mu^\alpha \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha \dot{\beta} I'}, \\ D_{\dot{I}} \lrcorner \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}} &:= \psi_1 D_{\dot{I}} \psi_1^{-1} = \mu^\alpha \mathcal{A}_{\alpha \dot{I}}, \\ D_I \lrcorner \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}} &:= \psi_1 D_I \psi_1^{-1} = \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{A}_{I \dot{\alpha}}, \\ V_{iA} \lrcorner \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}} &:= \psi_1 V_{iA} \psi_1^{-1} = \mu^\alpha \mathcal{A}_{i\alpha A}, \\ V_{\dot{A}}^i \lrcorner \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}} &:= \psi_1 V_{\dot{A}}^i \psi_1^{-1} = \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{A}}^i, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where the fields $\{\mathcal{A}\} = \{\mathcal{A}_{\alpha \dot{\beta} I'}, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha \dot{I}}, \dots\}$ do not depend on λ and μ . Similar formulae can be written down for the other patches. Equations (3.13) can be rewritten as the following system of linear differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\alpha \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} (D_{\alpha \dot{\beta} I'} + \mathcal{A}_{\alpha \dot{\beta} I'}) \psi_1 &=: \mu^\alpha \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha \dot{\beta} I'} \psi_1 = 0, \\ \mu^\alpha (D_{\alpha \dot{I}} + \mathcal{A}_{\alpha \dot{I}}) \psi_1 &=: \mu^\alpha \nabla_{\alpha \dot{I}} \psi_1 = 0, \\ \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} (D_{I \dot{\alpha}} + \mathcal{A}_{I \dot{\alpha}}) \psi_1 &=: \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_{I \dot{\alpha}} \psi_1 = 0, \\ \mu^\alpha (D_{i\alpha A} + \mathcal{A}_{i\alpha A}) \psi_1 &=: \mu^\alpha \nabla_{i\alpha A} \psi_1 = 0, \\ \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} (D_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{A}}^i + \mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{A}}^i) \psi_1 &=: \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{A}}^i \psi_1 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

It is not too difficult to see that these equations are invariant under the gauge transformations

$$\psi_1 \mapsto g^{-1} \psi_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} \mapsto g^{-1} \mathcal{A} g + g^{-1} Dg, \quad (3.15)$$

where g is any smooth $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ -valued function on $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$. In addition, (3.14) is also invariant under the equivalence map

$$\psi_1 \mapsto \psi_1 h_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}, \quad (3.16)$$

where h_1 is any holomorphic $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ -valued function on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$. Similar invariance transformations hold for the remaining patches $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_p$ for $p = 2, 3, 4$. Note that the transition functions (3.9) are invariant under the transformations (3.15) and furthermore are mapped to equivalent ones for (3.16).

SYM(n) equations. The compatibility conditions of the equations (3.14) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla_{(\alpha(\dot{\alpha}I', \nabla_{\beta)\dot{\beta})J'}] &= 0, \quad [\nabla_{(\alpha\dot{\alpha}I', \nabla_{\beta)J}] = 0, \quad [\nabla_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha}I', \nabla_{J\dot{\beta})}] = 0, \\
[\nabla_{(\alpha\dot{\alpha}I', \nabla_{i\beta)B}] &= 0, \quad [\nabla_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha}I', \nabla_{\dot{\beta})\dot{B}}^i] = 0, \\
[\nabla_{(\alpha\dot{\alpha}I, \nabla_{\beta)J}] &= 0, \quad [\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}I, \nabla_{J\dot{\beta}}] = 0, \quad [\nabla_{I(\dot{\alpha}, \nabla_{J\dot{\beta})}] = 0, \\
[\nabla_{(\alpha\dot{\alpha}I, \nabla_{i\beta)B}] &= 0, \quad [\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}I, \nabla_{\dot{\beta}\dot{B}}^i] = 0, \quad [\nabla_{I\dot{\alpha}, \nabla_{i\beta B}] = 0, \quad [\nabla_{I(\dot{\alpha}, \nabla_{\dot{\beta})\dot{B}}^i] = 0, \\
\{\nabla_{i(\alpha A, \nabla_{j\beta)B}\} &= 0, \quad \{\nabla_{(\dot{\alpha}A, \nabla_{\dot{\beta})\dot{B}}^j\} = 0, \quad \{\nabla_{i\alpha A, \nabla_{\dot{\beta}\dot{B}}^j\} - 2\delta_i^j \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}A\dot{B}} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where parentheses mean normalized symmetrization of the spinorial indices.

We shall call the finite system (3.17) of nonlinear differential equations the *SYM hierarchy truncated up to level n* and refer to the field equations (3.17) as the *SYM(n) equations*. The full SYM hierarchy is obtained by taking the asymptotic limit $n \rightarrow \infty$.⁹ We emphasize that because of Bianchi identities, (3.17) is not the “minimal” set of equations since some equations of (3.17) are implied by others. As our subsequent discussion will not be affected by this issue, we can leave it aside in the remainder of this work.

It is not difficult to see that the constraint equations (2.44) of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory are embedded into the SYM(n) equations. Indeed, we find them

$$\{\nabla_{i(\alpha 1, \nabla_{j\beta)1}\} = 0, \quad \{\nabla_{(\dot{\alpha}1, \nabla_{\dot{\beta})1}^j\} = 0, \quad \{\nabla_{i\alpha 1, \nabla_{\dot{\beta}1}^j\} - 2\delta_i^j \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}11} = 0, \tag{3.18}$$

as part of (3.17) since $\nabla_{i\alpha 1} \equiv \nabla_{i\alpha}$, $\nabla_{\dot{\alpha}1}^i \equiv \nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^i$ and $\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}11} \equiv \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$.

Now one could continue to work with (3.17) by imposing a sort of transversal gauge [41] (or follow a more general approach [43]) to extract the field content, the superfield expansions, etc. of the SYM(n) equations. However, already in describing truncated hierarchies for $\mathcal{N}$ -extended self-dual SYM theories, this procedure was rather involving [14]. That is why we leave this discussion to future work. In the next section, we will write the SYM(n) equations in light-cone gauge in which they take a simpler form.

Reality conditions. Up to now, we have only worked in a complex setting. However, it is well known that for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory described in terms of supertwistors, one can obtain real SYM fields on Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ with metric $g = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$ by choosing appropriate real structures on $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$, $\mathcal{P}^{3|3} \times \mathcal{P}_*^{3|3}$ and on the quadric $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$ (see e.g. [32]). In fact, by introducing an antiholomorphic involution on $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$, one obtains an induced involution on $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$ such that its fixed point set is identified with Minkowski superspace.

In the present case of generalized superambitwistor space, we may proceed similarly. In particular, we consider the antiholomorphic involution $\tau_M : \mathcal{L}_n^{5|6} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$ which is defined by its action on the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathcal{C}_n^{6|6}$ as the map

$$\tau_M : (z^\alpha, w^{\dot{\alpha}}, \pi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \rho_\alpha, \eta_i, \theta^i) \mapsto (-\overline{w^{\dot{\alpha}}}, -\overline{z^\alpha}, \overline{\rho_\alpha}, \overline{\pi_{\dot{\alpha}}}, \overline{\theta^i}, \overline{\eta_i}), \tag{3.19}$$

where bar denotes complex conjugation. Inserting (2.24) into (3.19), we find that τ_M acts on $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ as¹⁰

$$\tau_M(x^{A\dot{B}}) = -\overline{x^{B\dot{A}}}, \quad \tau_M(t^{a\dot{B}}) = -\overline{s^{B\dot{a}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_M(\eta_i^A) = \overline{\theta^{iA}}. \tag{3.20}$$

⁹One can also allow the indices to run over all negative values with corresponding coordinates $x^{A\dot{B}}$ (hence, $A, \dot{B} \in \mathbb{Z}$), etc. parametrizing “negative flows”.

¹⁰By a slight abuse of notation, we use the symbol τ_M for maps defined on different spaces.

Clearly, the fixed point set is a real slice $\mathbb{R}^{M|N} \subset \mathbb{C}^{M|N}$, with

$$\mathbb{R}^{M|N} \cong \mathbb{R}^{4|12} \times \mathbb{R}^{M-4|N-12} \quad \text{for} \quad M = 3n^2 + 2n - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N = 6(n+1). \quad (3.21)$$

If we choose the parametrization of $x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ according to

$$(x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}) := -i \begin{pmatrix} x^0 + x^3 & x^1 - ix^2 \\ x^1 + ix^2 & x^0 - x^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.22)$$

with $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, the factor $\mathbb{R}^{4|12}$ appearing in the decomposition (3.21) can be identified with $\mathcal{N} = 3$ Minkowski superspace.

The extension of τ_M to matrix-valued holomorphic functions h is given by

$$\tau_M(h(\cdots)) := \overline{[h(\tau_M(\cdots))]}^t, \quad (3.23)$$

where “ t ” means matrix transposition. With this rule we can extend the involution τ_M to the holomorphic vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \pi_2^* \mathcal{E}$. In particular, for the transition functions $\tilde{f} = \{\tilde{f}_{pq}\}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ the reality condition $\tau_M(\tilde{f}) = \tilde{f}$ yields

$$\tilde{f}_{12}^\dagger = \tilde{f}_{31}, \quad \tilde{f}_{14}^\dagger = \tilde{f}_{41} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_{23}^\dagger = \tilde{f}_{23}. \quad (3.24)$$

Here, we have used the shorthand notation $\tilde{f}_{pq}^\dagger := [\tilde{f}_{pq}(\tau_M(\cdots))]^\dagger$. Equations (3.24) can be satisfied by imposing the conditions

$$\psi_1^\dagger = \psi_1^{-1}, \quad \psi_2^\dagger = \psi_3^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_4^\dagger = \psi_4^{-1} \quad (3.25)$$

which yield the relations

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}A\dot{B}})^\dagger = \mathcal{A}_{\beta\dot{\alpha}B\dot{A}}, \quad (\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{I}})^\dagger = \mathcal{A}_{I\dot{\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{A}}^\dagger)^\dagger = \mathcal{A}_{i\alpha A}. \quad (3.26)$$

Therefore, the gauge group is reduced from $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ to the unitary group $U(r)$. If one in addition assumes that $\det(f_{pq}) = 1$, then one obtains $SU(r)$.

To sum up, we have shown that there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathbb{R}^{M|N}$ -trivial τ_M -invariant holomorphic vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ over the generalized superambitwistor space $\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$ and gauge equivalence classes of solutions to the equations of the truncated SYM(n) hierarchy which include the field equations of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory as a subset.

4. SYM hierarchy in light-cone gauge

Extended linear system. For the sake of concreteness, we again work on the patch $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1$ of $\mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$. We start by reconsidering the vector fields (2.35) and (2.37) and the resulting linear system (3.14). Note that the vector fields (2.35a) are a linear combination of the vector fields

$$X_{A\dot{B}} = \lambda \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{B}}} - \delta_{\dot{B}}^{\dot{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{A\dot{n}-\dot{1}}} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{B}+\dot{1}}} + \delta_{\dot{B}}^{\dot{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{A\dot{1}}} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad A \leq n+1, \quad \dot{B} \leq \dot{n} \quad (4.1a)$$

or

$$Y_{A\dot{B}} = \mu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{B}}} - \delta_A^n \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{n-1\dot{B}}} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A+1\dot{B}}} + \delta_A^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{1\dot{A}}} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad A \leq n, \quad \dot{B} \leq \dot{n} + \dot{1} \quad (4.1b)$$

together with the remaining vector fields of (2.35). In particular, we have

$$D_{A\dot{B}} = \mu X_{A\dot{B}} - X_{A+1\dot{B}} + \delta_{\dot{B}}^{\dot{1}} S_{A\dot{1}} + \lambda \delta_{\dot{B}}^{\dot{n}} S_{A\dot{n}-\dot{1}} \quad (4.2a)$$

$$= \lambda Y_{A\dot{B}} - Y_{A\dot{B}+\dot{1}} + \delta_A^1 T_{1\dot{B}} + \mu \delta_A^n T_{n-1\dot{B}} \quad \text{with} \quad A \leq n, \quad \dot{B} \leq \dot{n}. \quad (4.2b)$$

In other words, both sets (4.1a) and (4.1b) of vector fields belong to the distribution $\mathcal{T}$ tangent to the fibres of the projection $\pi_2 : \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$. Together with vector fields (2.35d)–(2.35g) they form an “overcomplete basis” for the distribution $\mathcal{T}$. However, they all do annihilate the transition functions of the holomorphic vector bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$ and their linear span forms $\mathcal{T}$. Therefore, one can use them for introducing an extended linear system¹¹ which eventually yields a rather homogeneous form of the equations of the SYM hierarchy when written in light-cone gauge.

Instead of (2.35a)–(2.35c) for $n > 1$, we now take the vector fields (4.1) and combine them together with the remaining bosonic vector fields (2.35d)–(2.35g) into the following expressions:

$$D_{\check{\Upsilon}} = \mu^\alpha D_{\alpha\check{\Upsilon}} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\Upsilon} = \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} D_{\Upsilon\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (4.3a)$$

where

$$\{D_{\check{\Upsilon}}\} = \{Y_{A\dot{B}}, S_{A\dot{b}}, T_{a\dot{n}+i}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{D_{\alpha\check{\Upsilon}}\} = \{D_{\alpha A\dot{B}}, D_{\alpha A\dot{b}}, D_{\alpha a\dot{n}+i}\}, \quad (4.3b)$$

$$\{D_{\Upsilon}\} = \{X_{A\dot{B}}, T_{a\dot{B}}, S_{n+1\dot{a}}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{D_{\Upsilon\dot{\alpha}}\} = \{D_{A\dot{B}\dot{\alpha}}, D_{a\dot{B}\dot{\alpha}}, D_{n+1\dot{a}\dot{\alpha}}\}. \quad (4.3c)$$

So, the abstract indices Υ and $\check{\Upsilon}$ run over the appropriate index set which is easily extracted from (4.3). Note that the vector fields (4.3b) and (4.3c) are related by the involution τ_M .

Now, instead of the linear system (3.14), we find the following extended linear system:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\alpha (D_{\alpha\check{\Upsilon}} + \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\check{\Upsilon}}) \psi_1 &= 0, \\ \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} (D_{\Upsilon\dot{\alpha}} + \mathcal{A}_{\Upsilon\dot{\alpha}}) \psi_1 &= 0, \\ \mu^\alpha (D_{i\alpha A} + \mathcal{A}_{i\alpha A}) \psi_1 &= 0, \\ \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} (D_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{A}}^i + \mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{A}}^i) \psi_1 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Light-cone gauge. Let us now fix a gauge by imposing the condition $\psi_1(\lambda = 0 = \mu) = 1$. Such a gauge can always be obtained from the general (λ, μ) -expansion,

$$\psi_1 = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \mu^l \psi_1^{(k,l)}, \quad (4.5)$$

by performing the gauge transformation¹² $\psi_1 \mapsto \tilde{\psi}_1 = (\psi_1^{(0,0)})^{-1} \psi_1$ so that $\tilde{\psi}_1(\lambda = 0 = \mu) = 1$. Hence, from the very beginning we can assume that $\psi_1^{(0,0)} = 1$ in (4.5). Then we have

$$\psi_1 = 1 + \mu\Phi + \lambda\Psi + \lambda\mu\Sigma + \dots. \quad (4.6)$$

Imposing the reality condition (3.25) on ψ_1 , we obtain

$$\Phi = -\Psi^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^\dagger = -\Sigma + \Psi\Phi + \Phi\Psi. \quad (4.7)$$

Next, we substitute the expansion (4.6) into the linear system (4.4) and find that all the fields $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\check{\Upsilon}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\Upsilon\dot{\alpha}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{i\alpha A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{A}}^i$ are expressed in terms of the prepotentials Φ , Ψ and Σ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{1\check{\Upsilon}} &= D_{2\check{\Upsilon}}\Phi, & \mathcal{A}_{2\check{\Upsilon}} &= 0, & \mathcal{A}_{\Upsilon i} &= D_{\Upsilon 2}\Psi, & \mathcal{A}_{\Upsilon 2} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{A}_{i1A} &= D_{i2A}\Phi, & \mathcal{A}_{i2A} &= 0, & \mathcal{A}_{1\dot{A}}^i &= D_{2\dot{A}}^i\Psi, & \mathcal{A}_{2\dot{A}}^i &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

¹¹Of course, one now has to deal with additional constraints among the obtained superfields caused by the linear dependence of the used vector fields. However, this fact does not affect our subsequent discussion.

¹²Note that such a transformation must be performed on all four patches simultaneously with the same matrix $\psi_1^{(0,0)}$, i.e. $\psi_p \mapsto (\psi_1^{(0,0)})^{-1} \psi_p$.

In addition, the fields $\{\Phi, \Psi, \Sigma\}$ are constrained by the differential equations

$$D_{\Upsilon\dot{2}}\Phi = 0, \quad D_{\dot{2}\dot{A}}^i\Phi = 0, \quad (4.9a)$$

$$D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi = 0, \quad D_{i2A}\Psi = 0, \quad (4.9b)$$

$$D_{\Upsilon\dot{2}}\Sigma - D_{\Upsilon\dot{1}}\Phi - D_{\Upsilon\dot{2}}(\Psi\Phi) = 0, \quad D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Sigma - D_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi - D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}(\Phi\Psi) = 0, \quad (4.9c)$$

$$D_{\dot{2}\dot{A}}^i\Sigma - D_{\dot{1}\dot{A}}^i\Phi - D_{\dot{2}\dot{A}}^i(\Psi\Phi) = 0, \quad D_{i2A}\Sigma - D_{i1A}\Psi - D_{i2A}(\Phi\Psi) = 0. \quad (4.9d)$$

Inserting (4.8) into the compatibility conditions of the linear system (4.4) and using (4.9), we obtain the equations

$$D_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}D_{2\dot{\Lambda}}\Phi - D_{1\dot{\Lambda}}D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi + [D_{2\dot{\Lambda}}\Phi, D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi] = 0, \quad (4.10a)$$

$$D_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}D_{i2A}\Phi - D_{i1A}D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi + [D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi, D_{i2A}\Phi] = 0, \quad (4.10b)$$

$$D_{i1A}D_{j2B}\Phi + D_{j1B}D_{i2A}\Phi + \{D_{i2A}\Phi, D_{j2B}\Phi\} = 0, \quad (4.10c)$$

$$D_{\Upsilon\dot{1}}D_{\Lambda\dot{2}}\Psi - D_{\Lambda\dot{1}}D_{\Upsilon\dot{2}}\Psi + [D_{\Upsilon\dot{2}}\Psi, D_{\Lambda\dot{2}}\Psi] = 0, \quad (4.10d)$$

$$D_{\Upsilon\dot{1}}D_{\dot{2}\dot{A}}^i\Psi - D_{\dot{1}\dot{A}}^iD_{\Upsilon\dot{2}}\Psi + [D_{\Upsilon\dot{2}}\Psi, D_{\dot{2}\dot{A}}^i\Psi] = 0, \quad (4.10e)$$

$$D_{\dot{1}\dot{A}}^iD_{\dot{2}\dot{B}}^j\Psi + D_{\dot{1}\dot{B}}^jD_{\dot{2}\dot{A}}^i\Psi + \{D_{\dot{2}\dot{A}}^i\Psi, D_{\dot{2}\dot{B}}^j\Psi\} = 0, \quad (4.10f)$$

$$D_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}D_{\Lambda\dot{2}}\Psi - D_{\Lambda\dot{1}}D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi + [D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi, D_{\Lambda\dot{2}}\Psi] = 0, \quad (4.10g)$$

$$D_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}D_{\dot{2}\dot{A}}^i\Psi - D_{\dot{1}\dot{A}}^iD_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi + [D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi, D_{\dot{2}\dot{A}}^i\Psi] = 0, \quad (4.10h)$$

$$D_{i1A}D_{\Upsilon\dot{2}}\Psi - D_{\Upsilon\dot{1}}D_{i2A}\Phi + [D_{i2A}\Phi, D_{\Upsilon\dot{2}}\Psi] = 0, \quad (4.10i)$$

which together with equations (4.9) form the SYM(n) equations (the SYM hierarchy truncated up to level n) in light-cone gauge. As before, we obtain the full SYM hierarchy by taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$.¹³

$\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory in light-cone gauge. Before continuing, we briefly explain why we called this gauge “light-cone gauge”. Recall that in terms of (4.1) the vector fields (2.35a) are given by the formulae (4.2). Therefore, the gauge potential $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}A\dot{B}}$ which is associated with $D_{\alpha\dot{\beta}A\dot{B}}$ from (2.35a) is represented as a certain linear combination of the above introduced fields $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\Upsilon}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\Upsilon\dot{\alpha}}$ and, of course, similarly for $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{I}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{I\dot{\alpha}}$. A short calculation then shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{2\dot{2}A\dot{B}} &= 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_{1\dot{2}A\dot{B}} = D_{2\dot{2}A\dot{B}}\Phi, \quad \mathcal{A}_{2\dot{1}A\dot{B}} = D_{2\dot{2}A\dot{B}}\Psi, \\ \mathcal{A}_{1\dot{1}A\dot{B}} &= D_{1\dot{2}A\dot{B}}\Psi + (D_{2\dot{2}A\dot{B}}\Phi)\Psi + D_{2\dot{1}A\dot{B}}\Phi + (D_{2\dot{2}A\dot{B}}\Psi)\Phi - D_{2\dot{2}A\dot{B}}\Sigma, \\ \mathcal{A}_{2\dot{I}} &= 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_{1\dot{I}} = D_{2\dot{I}}\Phi, \quad \mathcal{A}_{I\dot{2}} = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_{I\dot{1}} = D_{I\dot{2}}\Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Recall that for $A = 1, \dot{B} = \dot{1}$ the field $\mathcal{A}_{2\dot{2}A\dot{B}}$ is identified with the component $\mathcal{A}_{2\dot{2}}$ of the Yang-Mills potential $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} := \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}11}$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{2\dot{2}} = 0$, the terminology “light-cone gauge” becomes transparent from the viewpoint of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory (see also (3.22), where $ix^{2\dot{2}} = x^0 - x^3$).

Finally, we stress that (4.9) and (4.10) contain the equations

$$\begin{aligned} D_{i1}D_{j2}\Phi + D_{j1}D_{i2}\Phi + \{D_{i2}\Phi, D_{j2}\Phi\} &= 0, \\ D_{\dot{1}}^iD_{\dot{2}}^j\Psi + D_{\dot{1}}^jD_{\dot{2}}^i\Psi + \{D_{\dot{2}}^i\Psi, D_{\dot{2}}^j\Psi\} &= 0, \\ D_{\dot{2}}^i\Sigma - D_{\dot{1}}^i\Phi - D_{\dot{2}}^i(\Psi\Phi) &= 0, \quad D_{i2}\Sigma - D_{i1}\Psi - D_{i2}(\Phi\Psi) = 0, \\ D_{\dot{2}}^i\Phi &= 0, \quad D_{i2}\Psi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

as a subset. These equations are equivalent to the field equations of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory in light-cone gauge. This is easily shown by substituting an expansion of the form (4.6) into the linear system (2.43) of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory as well as into the corresponding constraint equations (2.44).

¹³One may also consider the case when all the indices run over all integers, i.e. over $\mathbb{Z}$.

5. Hidden symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory

In this section, we consider the SYM hierarchy written in light-cone gauge as an infinite set of equations on the prepotentials $\{\Phi, \Psi, \Sigma\}$ and discuss an algebra of hidden symmetries of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations realized as point symmetries of the SYM hierarchy via derivatives with respect to extra bosonic and fermionic “times”. In particular, we reinterpret the results derived in the previous sections and in addition show how a “double” affinization of the algebra of supertranslations on Minkowski superspace can be realized as a hidden symmetry algebra of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory.

Linearized $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations. Let us consider the linearized form of (4.12),

$$D_{(i1}D_{j)2}\delta\Phi + \{D_{(i2}\Phi, D_{j)2}\delta\Phi\} = 0, \quad (5.1a)$$

$$D_1^{(i}D_2^{j)}\delta\Psi + \{D_2^{(i}\Psi, D_2^{j)}\delta\Psi\} = 0, \quad (5.1b)$$

$$D_2^i\delta\Sigma - D_1^i\delta\Phi - D_2^i((\delta\Psi)\Phi + \Psi\delta\Phi) = 0, \quad (5.1c)$$

$$D_{i2}\delta\Sigma - D_{i1}\delta\Psi - D_{i2}((\delta\Phi)\Psi + \Phi\delta\Psi) = 0, \quad (5.1d)$$

$$D_2^i\delta\Phi = 0, \quad D_{i2}\delta\Psi = 0. \quad (5.1e)$$

Solutions $\{\delta\Phi, \delta\Psi, \delta\Sigma\}$ to these equations for given $\{\Phi, \Psi, \Sigma\}$ satisfying (4.12) are called (infinitesimal) symmetries of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations written in light-cone gauge. From the geometric point of view, solutions to (5.1) are vector fields on the solution space of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations. Besides natural local symmetries (e.g. generated by superconformal and gauge transformations), the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations possess infinitely many nonlocal hidden symmetries, as we will show momentarily. Such symmetry transformations generate new solutions from old ones. Here, we describe an important subclass of such infinitesimal symmetry transformations associated with an affinization of supertranslations on $\mathbb{C}^{4|12}$. These transformations generate the higher flows in the SYM hierarchy introduced earlier in this paper.

Infinitesimal symmetries. Recall that the field equations of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory (4.12) form a subset of the equations (4.9), (4.10) of the SYM hierarchy.¹⁴ So, the prepotentials $\{\Phi, \Psi, \Sigma\}$ in (4.12), which we symbolically denote by Θ , depend not only on coordinates of Minkowski superspace but also on an infinite number of additional moduli $x^{\alpha\dot{b}+2}$, $x^{a+2\dot{\beta}}$, $x^{a+2\dot{b}+2}$, $t^{a\dot{B}}$, $s^{A\dot{b}}$, $\eta_i^{\dot{a}+2}$ and θ^{ia+2} . Therefore, upon defining

$$\delta_{AB}^{(x)}\Theta := D_{AB\dot{1}}\Theta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{AB}}\Theta, \quad (5.2a)$$

$$\delta_{a\dot{B}}^{(t)}\Theta := D_{a\dot{B}\dot{1}}\Theta = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a\dot{B}}}\Theta, \quad \delta_{A\dot{b}}^{(s)}\Theta := D_{1A\dot{b}}\Theta = \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{A\dot{b}}}\Theta, \quad (5.2b)$$

$$\delta_{iA}\Theta := \left(D_{iA} - 2\eta_i^{\dot{B}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{B}}}\right)\Theta =: Q_{iA}\Theta, \quad (5.2c)$$

$$\delta_{\dot{A}}^i\Theta := \left(D_{\dot{A}}^i - 2\theta^{iB}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{B\dot{A}}}\right)\Theta =: Q_{\dot{A}}^i\Theta, \quad (5.2d)$$

we obtain infinitesimal symmetries of the equations (4.12) since all vector fields appearing in (5.2) (anti)commute with $D_{i\alpha}$ and $D_{\dot{\alpha}}^i$. The fermionic vector fields Q_{iA} and $Q_{\dot{A}}^i$ introduced above obey

$$\{Q_{iA}, Q_{\dot{B}}^j\} = -2\delta_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{B}}} \quad (5.3)$$

¹⁴From now on, we assume that all indices in (4.9), (4.10) (besides R-symmetry indices i, j) run from 1 to ∞ , i.e. they take values in $\mathbb{N}$. In principle, one can also allow them to take values in $\mathbb{Z}$.

and in addition they also anticommute with D_{iA} and $D_{\dot{A}}^i$. Thus, the infinitesimal transformations $\Theta \mapsto \delta\Theta$ defined in (5.2) as derivatives with respect to moduli parametrizing higher flows of the SYM hierarchy give solutions to the linearized $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations in light-cone gauge (5.1), i.e. they define an infinite set of hidden (infinitesimal) symmetries.

Symmetry equations as subset of the SYM hierarchy. Recall that the derivatives in (5.2) generate bosonic and fermionic flows on the solution space of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory. This hints that equations (5.1) on infinitesimal symmetries defined by (5.2) may follow from a subset of the equations of the SYM hierarchy. We will show that this is indeed the case. Moreover, the remaining equations of the hierarchy describe the dependence of the symmetries $\delta\Theta$ on the additional moduli. This in fact is in the same spirit as for self-dual (S)YM hierarchies (see e.g. [3, 12, 14]). We exemplify all this by focussing on

$$\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Theta := D_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Theta, \quad (5.4)$$

which, due to (2.35) and (4.3), are linear combinations of the symmetries (5.2a), (5.2b).

Let us substitute (5.4) into the equations (4.9a), (4.9c) and (4.10b) with $A = 1$. Remember also that $D_{i1A} \equiv D_{iA}$, $D_{i2A} \equiv D_{iA+1}$, $D_{1\dot{A}}^i \equiv D_{\dot{A}}^i$ and $D_{2\dot{A}}^i \equiv D_{\dot{A}+1}^i$. Then we have the following equations on the symmetries (5.4):

$$D_{j2}\delta_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi - D_{j1}\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi + [\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi, D_{j2}\Phi] = 0, \quad (5.5a)$$

$$\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Sigma - \delta_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi - (\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi)\Psi = 0, \quad (5.5b)$$

$$\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi = 0. \quad (5.5c)$$

Here,

$$\delta_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}\Theta := D_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}\Theta \quad (5.6)$$

are also linear combinations of the symmetries (5.2a), (5.2b). Applying D_{i2} and $D_{\dot{2}}^i$ to (5.5b), D_{i2} to (5.5a), and symmetrizing the R-symmetry indices i and j , we find

$$D_{(i1}D_{j)2}\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi + \{D_{(i2}\Phi, D_{j)2}\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi\} = 0, \quad (5.7a)$$

$$D_1^{(i}D_2^{j)}\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi + \{D_2^{(i}\Psi, D_2^{j)}\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi\} = 0, \quad (5.7b)$$

$$D_{i2}\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Sigma - D_{i1}\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi - D_{i2}((\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi)\Psi + \Phi\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi) = 0, \quad (5.7c)$$

$$D_{\dot{2}}^i\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Sigma - D_{\dot{1}}^i\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi - D_{\dot{2}}^i((\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi)\Phi + \Psi\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi) = 0, \quad (5.7d)$$

$$D_{i2}(\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Psi) = 0, \quad D_{\dot{2}}^i(\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi) = 0, \quad (5.7e)$$

where we used (4.9), (4.10) and (5.5c). Obviously, (5.7) is a special case of (5.1) for the symmetries (5.4). The remaining equations in (4.9), (4.10) on the symmetries (5.4), e.g.

$$D_{1\dot{\Upsilon}}\delta_{2\dot{\Lambda}}\Phi - D_{1\dot{\Lambda}}\delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi + [D_{2\dot{\Lambda}}\Phi, \delta_{2\dot{\Upsilon}}\Phi] = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

describe their dependence on the moduli along the higher flows. Similarly, one can show for all symmetries (5.2) (and their linear combinations) that the linearized $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations (5.1) can be obtained from a subset of the SYM hierarchy (4.9), (4.10). Note that the infinitesimal transformations (5.2) were defined to be compatible with (4.7), which are the reality conditions for the flows.

Symmetry algebra. As an immediate consequence of the transformations (5.2), we find

$$\{\delta_{iA}, \delta_{\dot{B}}^j\} = -2\delta_i^j \delta_{A\dot{B}}^{(x)} \quad (5.9)$$

upon acting on either Φ , Ψ or Σ . These relations are the only nonvanishing (anti)commutators of two successive infinitesimal symmetry transformations. We have thus obtained an infinite-dimensional (graded) algebra of hidden symmetries of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations.

To understand the nature of this algebra, let us consider the action of the vector fields entering in the definition of the transformations (5.2) on the transition functions $\tilde{f} = \{\tilde{f}_{pq}\}$ of the holomorphic vector bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{M+2|N}$ for finite n and eventually put $n \rightarrow \infty$. A short calculation reveals that on the intersection $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1 \cap \hat{\mathcal{W}}_p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^a \lambda^{\dot{b}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1\dot{1}}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a+1 \dot{b}+1}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a \dot{b}+1}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{a+1 \dot{b}}}, \\
\mu^a \lambda^{\dot{b}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1\dot{2}}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a+1 \dot{b}+2}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a \dot{b}+2}}, \\
\mu^a \lambda^{\dot{b}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2\dot{1}}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a+2 \dot{b}+1}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{a+2 \dot{b}}}, \\
\mu^a \lambda^{\dot{b}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2\dot{2}}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a+2 \dot{b}+2}}, \\
\mu^a Q_{i1} &= Q_{ia+1} - 2\eta_i^{\dot{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{a\dot{B}}}, \quad \mu^a Q_{i2} = Q_{ia+2}, \\
\lambda^{\dot{a}} Q_{\dot{1}}^i &= Q_{\dot{a}+1}^i - 2\theta^{iB} \frac{\partial}{\partial s^{B\dot{a}}}, \quad \lambda^{\dot{a}} Q_{\dot{2}}^i = Q_{\dot{a}+2}^i,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where the above relations are understood upon action on $\tilde{f}_{1p}$. Similar expressions can be derived for the other patches. Therefore, we see that the vector fields on the right-hand side of (5.10) are recursively generated from the generators of supertranslations on Minkowski superspace. Recall that for the real case, we have the relations (3.20)–(3.22) and $\mu = \bar{\lambda}$.

We see that the obtained algebra is related to the algebra $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \mu]$ with generators

$$\mu^a \lambda^{\dot{b}} P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad \mu^a Q_{i\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{\dot{b}} Q_{\dot{\beta}}^i \tag{5.11}$$

for $a, \dot{b} \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, $P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} := \partial/\partial x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ and $T^{4|12}$ denotes the supertranslation algebra generated by $P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, $Q_{i\alpha}$ and $Q_{\dot{\beta}}^i$. As shown above, the algebra $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \mu]$ is represented via (5.10) in terms of vector fields on the solution space of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations. Altogether, we have thus obtained an affinization of the algebra of supertranslation $T^{4|12}$ on complexified Minkowski superspace. Moreover, in view of the self-dual bosonic case [3], it seems conceivable that by considering all four patches which cover generalized superambitwistor space and by extending the range of all indices $a, \dot{a}, \dots$ in the SYM hierarchy to all integers $\mathbb{Z}$, the above algebra can be extended to the symmetry algebra $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}, \mu, \mu^{-1}]$ which is generated by the same set of generators (5.11) but for $a, \dot{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, we note that in the real case, the graded double loop algebra $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}, \mu, \mu^{-1}]$ becomes $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}^{-1}]$ with the identity $\mu^a Q_{i\alpha} = (\lambda^{\dot{a}} Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^i)^\dagger$, etc. for $\bar{\dot{a}} = a$.

6. Summary and discussion

The goal of this paper was to present a step towards an understanding of the appearance of nonlocal hidden symmetries in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory from first principles. Here, we were interested in symmetries related to particular space-time symmetries. For this, we introduced a generalized superambitwistor space $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$ fibred over $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_*^1$ together with the space $\mathbb{C}^{4|12} \times \mathbb{C}^{M-4|N-12}$ parametrizing subspaces $(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_*^1)_{\tilde{x}}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$ with $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{M|N}$. Then, by specializing to the case $\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6} := \mathcal{L}_{n,n}^{5|6}$, we discussed a Penrose-Ward type transform relating holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathcal{L}_n^{5|6}$ and solutions to Yang-Mills-Higgs type equations on $\mathbb{C}^{M|N} = \mathbb{C}^{3n^2+2n-1|6(n+1)}$ being

termed the $\text{SYM}(n)$ equations which describe the SYM hierarchy truncated up to level n . Note that $\mathcal{L}_1^{5|6}$ coincides with the well-known superambitwistor space $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$ and the $\text{SYM}(1)$ equations are equivalent to the equations of motion of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory. The truncated SYM hierarchy turns into the full SYM hierarchy after taking the asymptotic limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. The field equations of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory are embedded into this infinite system of partial differential equations as well as into the $\text{SYM}(n)$ equations for finite $n > 1$. Hence, a given solution to the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM equations can be embedded into an infinite-parameter family of solutions to the whole hierarchy.¹⁵ The dependence of the fields on the extra parameters can be recovered by solving the equations of the SYM hierarchy.

Furthermore, we reinterpreted the equations of the SYM hierarchy in the context of hidden symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory. In particular, we rewrote the constraint equations of the latter and those of the hierarchy in light-cone gauge. In this gauge, parametrized by three prepotentials Φ , Ψ and Σ , it became transparent that some equations of the SYM hierarchy are equations on hidden infinitesimal symmetries (i.e. solutions to the linearized field equations) of $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SYM theory. We have shown that these nonlocal symmetries are related to a graded algebra $T^{4|12}[\lambda, \mu]$ generated from the algebra $T^{4|12}$ of supertranslations on Minkowski superspace. In this respect, we emphasize again that the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theories are physically equivalent theories. Thus, the twistor description gives a direct way of obtaining a particular set of infinitely many hidden symmetries in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory.

Recall that the classical Green-Schwarz superstring on the curved background $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ possesses an infinite set of hidden symmetries and nonlocal conserved charges [44] which are similar to those in two-dimensional models (see e.g. [45]). This is basically because of the possibility of interpreting the Green-Schwarz superstring on $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ as a particular sigma model, where the fields take values in the coset space $PSU(2, 2|4)/(SO(1, 4) \times SO(5))$. By virtue of the AdS/CFT correspondence [46], conserved nonlocal charges should also exist in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory (at least in the planar limit). In fact, within the spin chain approach, the authors of [47] were able to derive an analogous set of conserved charges in the superconformal Yang-Mills theory in the weak coupling limit. It is quite reasonable to expect that these Yangian symmetries and charges could be related to those derived in the present work.

As was mentioned in section 1, in recent years essential progress in our understanding of quantum properties of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory has been made with the help of twistor string theory [15]. Besides B-type topological string theory on the supertwistor space $\mathcal{P}^{3|4}$, Witten also mentioned the possibility of formulating a twistor string theory on the superambitwistor space¹⁶ $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$. Within such a formulation the mechanism of reproducing perturbative $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory would be completely different compared to the supertwistor space approach (i.e. no D-instantons are needed), as already at classical level, holomorphic Chern-Simons theory on superambitwistor space yields all the interactions of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. However, there are problems in formulating an action principle for holomorphic Chern-Simons theory on this space caused by the difficulty in making sense of an appropriate integration measure. Recently, some progress in this direction has been made in [26] for Euclidean signature in four dimensions.

Note that generalized superambitwistor space $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$ is a Calabi-Yau supermanifold for any values of m and n . One can therefore define a twistor string theory on $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{5|6}$. It is also an interesting task to see how the ideas presented in [26] should be generalized in order to construct appropriate action functionals for the truncated $\text{SYM}(n)$ hierarchies introduced in our paper. The next obvious

¹⁵This is a generic situation. However, for some concrete solutions, there could be obstructions of the same nature as for the SDYM hierarchy discussed in [3].

¹⁶Both spaces $\mathcal{P}^{3|4}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$ are Calabi-Yau supermanifolds, a property which is essential for using them as target spaces for B-type topological string theory.

step is the generalization of the twistor construction to all generators of the superconformal group. Besides questions associated with symmetry transformations, one in addition needs to write down the related conserved currents and charges (not only as superfields but also in components) in order to proceed further to quantum theory. It will then hopefully be possible to make contact with the quantum symmetry algebras considered in [47]. Moreover, it would also be interesting to see whether the symmetries described in this paper have an analog in the context of twistor string theory.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank O. Lechtenfeld and R. Wimmer for useful discussions. This work was partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

References

- [1] R. Penrose, *Solutions to the zero rest mass equations*, J. Math. Phys. **10** (1969) 38; *The twistor program*, Rept. Math. Phys. **12** (1977) 65.
- [2] R. S. Ward, *On self-dual gauge fields*, Phys. Lett. A **61** (1977) 81.
- [3] L. J. Mason and N. M. J. Woodhouse, *Integrability, self-duality, and twistor theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996.
- [4] L. J. Mason and G. A. J. Sparling, *Nonlinear Schrödinger and Korteweg-De Vries are reductions of self-dual Yang-Mills*, Phys. Lett. A **137** (1989) 29; *Twistor correspondences for the soliton hierarchies*, J. Geom. Phys. **8** (1992) 243.
- [5] K. Takasaki, *Hierarchy structure in integrable systems of gauge fields and underlying Lie algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **127** (1990) 225.
- [6] I. A. B. Strachan, *Some integrable hierarchies in $(2+1)$ -dimensions and their twistor description*, J. Math. Phys. **34** (1993) 243.
- [7] M. J. Ablowitz, S. Chakravarty and L. A. Takhtajan, *A self-dual Yang-Mills hierarchy and its reductions to integrable systems in $1+1$ dimensions and $2+1$ dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **158** (1993) 289.
- [8] L. J. Mason and M. A. Singer, *The twistor theory of equations of KdV type. 1*, Commun. Math. Phys. **166** (1994) 191.
- [9] A. D. Popov and C. R. Preitschopf, *Extended conformal symmetries of the self-dual Yang-Mills equations*, Phys. Lett. B **374** (1996) 71 [hep-th/9512130].
- [10] T. A. Ivanova, *On infinite-dimensional algebras of symmetries of the self-dual Yang-Mills equations*, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998) 79 [hep-th/9702144].
- [11] A. D. Popov, *Self-dual Yang-Mills: Symmetries and moduli space*, Rev. Math. Phys. **11** (1999) 1091 [hep-th/9803183]; *Holomorphic Chern-Simons-Witten theory: From 2D to 4D conformal field theories*, Nucl. Phys. B **550** (1999) 585 [hep-th/9806239].
- [12] T. A. Ivanova and O. Lechtenfeld, *Hidden symmetries of the open $N=2$ string*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 303 [hep-th/0007049].
- [13] A. M. Semikhatov, *Supersymmetric instanton*, JETP Lett. **35** (1982) 560; I. V. Volovich, *Super self-duality for supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Lett. B **123** (1983) 329; W. Siegel, *$N=2$ (4) string theory is self-dual $N=4$ Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Rev. D **46** (1992) R3235 [hep-th/9205075].
- [14] M. Wolf, *On hidden symmetries of a super gauge theory and twistor string theory*, JHEP **0502** (2005) 018 [hep-th/0412163]; *Twistors and aspects of integrability of self-dual SYM theory*,

- Proc. of the Int. Workshop on Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries (SQS'05), Eds. E. Ivanov and B. Zupnik, Dubna, 2005 [hep-th/0511230].
- [15] E. Witten, *Perturbative gauge theory as a string theory in twistor space*, Commun. Math. Phys. **252** (2004) 189 [hep-th/0312171].
 - [16] N. Berkovits, *An alternative string theory in twistor space for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 011601 [hep-th/0402045]; N. Berkovits and L. Motl, *Cubic twistorial string field theory*, JHEP **0404** (2004) 056 [hep-th/0403187]; W. Siegel, *Untwisting the twistor superstring*, hep-th/0404255; O. Lechtenfeld and A. D. Popov, *Supertwistors and cubic string field theory for open $N = 2$ strings*, Phys. Lett. B **598** (2004) 113 [hep-th/0406179]; I. A. Bando, J. A. de Azcarraga and C. Miquel-Espanya, *Superspace formulations of the (super)twistor string*, hep-th/0604037; M. Abou-Zeid, C. M. Hull and L. J. Mason, *Einstein supergravity and new twistor string theories*, hep-th/0606272.
 - [17] V. P. Nair, *A current algebra for some gauge theory amplitudes*, Phys. Lett. B **214** (1988) 215.
 - [18] *London Mathematical Society workshop on twistor string theory*, <http://www.maths.ox.ac.uk/~lmason/Tws/>, Oxford, 2005; *QMUL workshop from twistors to amplitudes*, <http://www.strings.ph.qmul.ac.uk/%7Eandreas/FTTA/>, London, 2005.
 - [19] F. Cachazo and P. Svrček, *Lectures on twistor strings and perturbative Yang-Mills theory*, PoS **RTN2005** 004 (2005) [hep-th/0504194]; Z. G. Xiao, G. Yang and C. J. Zhu, *The rational part of QCD amplitude. I: The general formalism*, hep-ph/0607015.
 - [20] A. Neitzke and C. Vafa, *$N = 2$ strings and the twistorial Calabi-Yau*, hep-th/0402128; M. Aganagic and C. Vafa, *Mirror symmetry and supermanifolds*, hep-th/0403192; S. P. Kumar and G. Policastro, *Strings in twistor superspace and mirror symmetry*, Phys. Lett. B **619** (2005) 163 [hep-th/0405236]; C. H. Ahn, *Mirror symmetry of Calabi-Yau supermanifolds*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20** (2005) 407 [hep-th/0407009]; A. Belhaj, L. B. Drissi, J. Rasmussen, E. H. Saidi and A. Sebbar, *Toric Calabi-Yau supermanifolds and mirror symmetry*, J. Phys. A **38** (2005) 6405 [hep-th/0410291]; R. Ricci, *Super Calabi-Yau's and special Lagrangians*, hep-th/0511284; R. A. Laamara, A. Belhaj, L. B. Drissi and E. H. Saidi, *On local Calabi-Yau supermanifolds and their mirrors*, J. Phys. A **39** (2006) 5965 [hep-th/0601215].
 - [21] A. D. Popov and C. Sämann, *On supertwistors, the Penrose-Ward transform and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **9** (2005) 931 [hep-th/0405123];
 - [22] A. D. Popov and M. Wolf, *Topological B model on weighted projective spaces and self-dual models in four dimensions*, JHEP **0409** (2004) 007 [hep-th/0406224]; J. Park and S. J. Rey, *Supertwistor orbifolds: gauge theory amplitudes and topological strings*, JHEP **0412** (2004) 017 [hep-th/0411123]; S. Giombi, M. Kulaxizi, R. Ricci, D. Robles-Llana, D. Trancanelli and K. Zoubos, *Orbifolding the twistor string*, Nucl. Phys. B **719** (2005) 234 [hep-th/0411171]; O. Lechtenfeld and C. Sämann, *Matrix models and D-branes in twistor string theory*, JHEP **0603** (2006) 002 [hep-th/0511130].
 - [23] M. Roček and N. Wadhwa, *On Calabi-Yau supermanifolds*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **9** (2005) 315 [hep-th/0408188]; C. G. Zhou, *On Ricci flat supermanifolds*, JHEP **0502** (2005) 004 [hep-th/0410047]; M. Roček and N. Wadhwa, *On Calabi-Yau supermanifolds. II*, hep-th/0410081; C. Sämann, *The topological B model on fattened complex manifolds and subsectors of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ self-dual Yang-Mills theory*, JHEP **0501** (2005) 042 [hep-th/0410292]; U. Lindström, M. Roček and R. von Unge, *Ricci-flat supertwistor spaces*, JHEP **0601** (2006) 163 [hep-th/0509211].
 - [24] D. W. Chiou, O. J. Ganor, Y. P. Hong, B. S. Kim and I. Mitra, *Massless and massive three dimensional super Yang-Mills theory and mini-twistor string theory*, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 125016 [hep-th/0502076]; A. D. Popov, C. Sämann and M. Wolf, *The topological B model on a mini-supertwistor space and supersymmetric Bogomolny monopole equations*, JHEP **0510**

- (2005) 058 [hep-th/0505161]; C. Sämann, *On the mini-superambitwistor space and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ super Yang-Mills theory*, hep-th/0508137; *The mini-superambitwistor space*, Proc. of the Int. Workshop on Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries (SQS'05), Eds. E. Ivanov and B. Zupnik, Dubna, 2005 [hep-th/0511251]; D. W. Chiou, O. J. Ganor and B. S. Kim, *A deformation of twistor space and a chiral mass term in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory*, JHEP **0603** (2006) 027 [hep-th/0512242].
- [25] L. J. Mason, *Twistor actions for non-self-dual fields: A derivation of twistor-string theory*, JHEP **0510** (2005) 009 [hep-th/0507269].
- [26] L. J. Mason and D. Skinner, *An ambitwistor Yang-Mills Lagrangian*, Phys. Lett. B **636** (2006) 60 [hep-th/0510262].
- [27] R. Boels, L. Mason and D. Skinner, *Supersymmetric gauge theories in twistor space*, hep-th/0604040.
- [28] Y. Abe, V. P. Nair and M. I. Park, *Multigluon amplitudes, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ constraints and the WZW model*, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 025002 [hep-th/0408191]; M. Kulaxizi and K. Zoubos, *Marginal deformations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM from open/closed twistor strings*, Nucl. Phys. B **738** (2006) 317 [hep-th/0410122]; S. Seki and K. Sugiyama, *Gauged linear sigma model on supermanifold*, hep-th/0503074; S. Seki, K. Sugiyama and T. Tokunaga, *Superconformal symmetry in linear sigma model on supermanifolds*, hep-th/0605021.
- [29] C. Sämann, *Aspects of twistor geometry and supersymmetric field theories within superstring theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Leibniz University of Hannover, 2006 [hep-th/0603098]; M. Wolf, *On supertwistor geometry and integrability in super gauge theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Leibniz University of Hannover, 2006.
- [30] E. Witten, *An interpretation of classical Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Lett. B **77** (1978) 394.
- [31] J. Isenberg, P. B. Yasskin and P. S. Green, *Non-self-dual gauge fields*, Phys. Lett. B **78** (1978) 462.
- [32] Yu. I. Manin, *Gauge field theory and complex geometry*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1988 [Russian: Nauka, Moscow, 1984].
- [33] M. G. Eastwood, *Supersymmetry, twistors, and the Yang-Mills equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987) 615.
- [34] J. P. Harnad, J. Hurtubise and S. Shnider, *Supersymmetric Yang-Mills equations and super-twistors*, Annals Phys. **193** (1989) 40.
- [35] P. S. Howe and G. G. Hartwell, *A superspace survey*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995) 1823.
- [36] D. Burns, *Some background and examples in deformation theory*, in *Complex manifold techniques in theoretical physics*, Eds. D. E. Lerner and P. D. Sommer, Pitman, 1979.
- [37] C. R. LeBrun, *Spaces of complex null geodesics in complex Riemannian geometry*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **278** (1983) 209.
- [38] K. Kodaira, *A theorem of completeness of characteristic systems for analytic families of compact submanifolds of complex manifolds*, Ann. Math. **75** (1962) 146.
- [39] R. S. Ward and R. O. Wells, *Twistor geometry and field theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [40] P. Griffith and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.
- [41] J. P. Harnad, J. Hurtubise, M. Legare and S. Shnider, *Constraint equations and field equations in supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 3$ Yang-Mills theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **256** (1985) 609.
- [42] J. H. Rawnsley, *Flat partial connections and holomorphic structures in smooth vector bundles*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1979) 391.

- [43] I. Ya. Aref'eva and I. V. Volovich, *Reconstruction of superconnection from physical fields in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, Class. Quant. Grav. **3** (1986) 617.
- [44] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, *Hidden symmetries of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring*, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 046002 [hep-th/0305116].
- [45] M. Lüscher and K. Pohlmeyer, *Scattering of massless lumps and nonlocal charges in the two-dimensional classical nonlinear sigma model*, Nucl. Phys. B **137**, (1978) 46; M. Lüscher, *Quantum nonlocal charges and absence of particle production in the two-dimensional nonlinear sigma model*, Nucl. Phys. B **135**, (1978) 1.
- [46] J. M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113] [hep-th/9711200]; S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*, Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 105 [hep-th/9802109]; E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253 [hep-th/9802150].
- [47] L. Dolan, C. R. Nappi and E. Witten, *A relation between approaches to integrability in superconformal Yang-Mills theory*, JHEP **0310** (2003) 017 [hep-th/0308089]; *Yangian symmetry in $D = 4$ superconformal Yang-Mills theory*, hep-th/0401243; L. Dolan and C. R. Nappi, *Spin models and superconformal Yang-Mills theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **717** (2005) 361 [hep-th/0411020].